

# Mechanism Thrusts Overview: Foundational Fuels, Butanols, and Esters (Biodiesel)

W.H. Green, Fred Dryer, Yiguang Ju,  
Stephen Klippenstein, and Hai Wang

# Mechanisms: Status

- Foundational Fuels (C0-C4):
  - Very good new comprehensive validated model for H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> (in press)
    - MUM-PCE of the underlying uncertainties (in planning)
  - Detailed study of propane ignition at low T (in preparation)
  - Some work on bigger species, building on JetSurf
    - Detailed study of low T propane ignition (in preparation)
    - Quantum thermochemistry for dozens of species
- Butanols:
  - Pretty good detailed comprehensive validated model for n-butanol.
    - Significant issues below 800 K.
    - Planning group publication.
  - Models for the other 3 isomers (2 published, 1 in preparation).
- Esters (Biodiesel):
  - Base kinetic model for methyl decanoate and butanoate developed.
    - Some improvement in ignition delay.
    - Large uncertainties in rate coefficients for H abstraction, radical isomerization, and decomposition of methyl ester molecules

# Mechanisms: 'Minor' Technical Issues

- Several different simulators used in CEFRC, for different experiments.
  - Different assumed boundary conditions, handling of radiation, Soret effect, extrapolations, etc.
  - Some solvers have difficulty with large stiff mechanisms. Some of the stiff mechanisms are probably incorrect.
- Pressure dependent rate coefficients  $k(T,P)$ 
  - Science issues: e.g. what is true  $\langle \Delta E_{\text{down}} \rangle$ ?
  - Representation issues:
    - Fit  $k(T_n, P_n)$  accurately enough?
    - Monotonic interpolation, nothing crazy?
    - Solvers handle the representation?
- Validation data sets
  - Significant effort to gather data sets, get them into electronic form so they can easily be compared with computations.

# Mechanisms: Big-Picture Issues

- Different goals lead to different mechanisms and different approaches to the mechanisms
- Clarity about the Goals is Key
- CEFRC focus: Primarily Methodology, not Individual Mechanisms
  - Develop Capability to Rapidly Assess Proposed Alternative Fuels
  - Good Mechanism-Building & Validation methods are useful for many fuels
  - Individual Mechanisms are Test Cases for Methods...
  - ...but also reveal where improved understanding of chemistry (and chemistry-transport coupling) is needed. (Improved Understanding is also transferrable to many fuels).
  - Sensitivity analyses indicate that higher accuracy is needed in C0-C4 chemistry for all fuels: hence Foundational Fuels Thrust
- Improve integration of experiments and model-building
  - Experiment/Model/Experiment cycle time is pretty fast in the CEFRC, but still not perfectly smooth.

# Goals for Foundational Fuels Thrust

- Very high accuracy individual numbers
- Methods for using/predicting experiments sensitive to multiple numbers
  - Can achieve higher accuracy in predictions by constraining the right combination of model parameters
  - Some individual numbers are not easy to determine accurately
  - Use our knowledge to design best “bang-for-the-buck” experiments.
- Careful assessment & use of uncertainties
- Hierarchical build-up of high-accuracy submodels

# Foundational Fuels Challenges

- Some rate coefficients, thermo need to be known *very* precisely
  - Requires excellent experiments & calculations
- Most reactions have pressure effects; collider dependence
- Some key species and reactions are very difficult to probe experimentally
- Even though “small molecule”, still *many* species and reactions to consider.
- Many experiments sensitive to same set of rate coefficients, but hard to use all the data to constrain the rate coefficients:
  - Don’t have all the data, nor error bars on the data
  - Often not enough information to uniquely determine all the rate coefficients of interest.
  - Algorithms for extracting the information are not yet perfected.

# Goals of Butanols Thrust

- Develop/Demonstrate Teamwork Approach to Mechanism Development
- Predictive Models for Many Alternative Fuels Desired – Butanols are a test case
  - How accurate are predictions?
  - How quickly can models be developed?
  - Which experiments are most helpful?
  - What are the pitfalls ?
- Improve understanding of alcohols chemistry.

# Butanols Challenges

- Lots of species and reactions: order of magnitude greater than Foundational Fuels
  - Well-suited to automated model construction
  - Can build on Foundational Fuels submodels
- Very few rate coefficients known precisely; experiments typically constrain some combination of several model parameters.
  - Most numbers in models are estimates or quantum calculations.
- Multiple isomers, conformers, coupled rotors, isomerization reactions; lots of P dependence.

# Goals of the Biodiesel Thrust

- Accurate understanding of the ester group chemistry for small methyl esters
  1. H abstraction reaction
  2. Radical decomposition and isomerization reactions
  3. Methyl ester decomposition reactions
- Effects of unsaturation
- Surrogate model for real biodiesel

# Biodiesel Challenges

- Big molecules!
  - Many isomers, conformers, rotors
    - Many isomeric cyclic TS's and cyclic products
  - Many species and reaction steps are difficult to probe experimentally
    - Fast unimolecular reactions: too transient
    - Hard to distinguish isomers or to prepare specific isomers
    - Low volatility of some important species
    - Relatively few known rate coefficients or thermo
  - Computations are challenging
    - But we are developing quantum methods for big molecules
    - Need to upgrade solvers... or reduce kinetic models
- Ultimately we'd like a surrogate for B10
  - Challenges of diesel surrogate combined with challenges of pure biodiesel surrogate

## Mechanism Thrusts Differ, But Are Strongly Coupled

- All models depend on Foundational Fuels submodels, rate coefficients, thermo.
- How precise do Foundational Fuels submodels have to be to achieve desired accuracy in Butanol and Biodiesel models?
- Rate estimation techniques from Butanol study useful for Biodiesel models.
- Automated model construction should help with Biodiesel... but computer methods need improvements to effectively handle vast number of species and reactions.

# Next Steps for Mechanism Thrusts

This afternoon, CEFRC breakout groups will focus on planning next steps.

- + Arranging collaborations
- + Debating proposed plans

Tomorrow morning we'll present a summary of our plans, both short-term and long-term.

# Schedule of Discussions

- 1:00 – 1:30 Solvers, Experimental Database representations including Uncertainties,  $k(T,P)$  representations
- 1:30 – 2:00 Fundamental Fuels (C0-C4)
  - Including DME
- 2:00 – 2:30 Butanol
- 2:30 – 3:00 Esters (Biodiesel)

We don't expect everyone to attend all four after lunch discussions.

Perhaps we should discuss how the team can work more effectively with RMG sometime after the 3:00 break?